
USE CASE

Show the board exposure in currency, with coverage

Aribot prices security exposure per system and shows, beside every score, how many controls were tested, so the board can see what the figure rests on.

THE PROBLEM

Every quarter the board asks whether we are more or less exposed and what that is worth.

Our dashboards show control counts and green tiles, and a control nobody tested looks the same as one that passed. I can't defend a percentage when I can't say what it was computed over.

WHY IT MATTERS NOW

SEC cyber disclosure (US-listed)

18 Dec 2023. Listed companies must disclose material incidents quickly, and the board needs a view of exposure it can defend.

HOW ARIBOT HANDLES IT

1 **Pick the scope**

Select an architecture diagram or business unit, and the figures recompute for that scope only.

2 **Read exposure in currency**

Value at risk is derived from the threats modelled on those systems, with its basis shown next to it.

3 **Check coverage first**

Control effectiveness sits beside coverage, the share of controls that have any result at all.

4 **Drill into weak controls**

Evaluated controls are ranked worst first, and untested controls are listed on their own.

WHAT YOU GET

- Value at risk per system, computed from that system's own threat model
- Effectiveness and coverage reported together on every scope
- A list of controls never evaluated, kept out of the score
- A board pack that says what was not measured

SEE IT IN THE DEMO

- A Strategic Risk Summary with diagrams, components, open threats, annual cost and open remediations
- An 'Is the number trustworthy?' panel that pairs control effectiveness with control coverage
- A weakest-evaluated-controls list, with untested controls marked NOT TESTED
- Sample figures labelled as an illustrative example, not customer data

See it for yourself

ayurak.com/demos/cxo-workbench

€100 per economic analysis · €150 per CXO path-to-green