

USE CASE

AI-drafted fixes that wait for your approval

Aribot drafts a fix for each finding with the model that wrote it on record, and nothing changes until a person approves the dry run.

THE PROBLEM

A model-written fix looks plausible, so it is easy to approve and hard to audit later. I'm asked to sign off a change whose effect I can't see until it runs. Our reports mark findings resolved when the instruction was sent.

HOW ARIBOT HANDLES IT

- 1 A finding arrives**
A scan result comes in with no plan attached.
- 2 Draft a plan**
A plan is generated at Draft status, carrying the provider and model that wrote it.
- 3 Dry run it**
The reviewer sees exactly what would change, and nothing changes yet.
- 4 Approve, apply, re-check**
After explicit approval the change is made, then the resource is re-read to confirm it.

WHAT YOU SEE IN THE DEMO

- A finding for a publicly readable storage bucket
- A proposed plan shown as a configuration diff and marked Draft
- Dry-run results labelled WOULD CHANGE, then APPLIED and CONFIRMED
- Sample fix suggestions for AWS, Azure and GCP, each with the proposed configuration

WHO IT IS FOR

Cloud Security Engineer

Head of Cloud Security

Compliance Manager

WHAT YOU GET

- Generated fix plans with their authorship recorded
- A dry run before any change
- Explicit approval on every applied fix
- Findings closed on a re-read, with the re-check stored apart from the execution

54%

Only about 54% of edge-device vulnerabilities were fully remediated, with a median of 32 days

Source: Verizon DBIR 2025

See it: ayurak.com/demos/ai-remediation

€30 per scan · €30 per applied fix (dry runs free)