

USE CASE

Threat model as a team, with disagreements on record

Several people edit one architecture at once, Aribot derives threats on each change, and disputed threats stay on the model with the reason attached.

THE PROBLEM

Our threat models are written by one person and reviewed by nobody who disagrees with them. When someone objects, the finding gets deleted and there is no trace of the argument. The team is spread across time zones, so the review meeting never has everyone in it.

HOW ARIBOT HANDLES IT

- 1 Open the diagram**
Share an architecture, and it opens as an editable canvas.
- 2 Invite reviewers**
Others join from an invite link, and their presence shows live.
- 3 Edit together**
A new data flow added by one person appears for everyone.
- 4 Derive threats**
Aribot raises threats on the changed model.
- 5 Accept or dispute**
Each threat is accepted or disputed, and both outcomes are kept with the reviewer's reason.

WHAT YOU SEE IN THE DEMO

- A shared canvas with live presence
- A change feed with JOIN, EDIT and DERIVED events
- Counters for people editing now, threats on the diagram, accepted and disputed
- A threat review panel listing findings by priority

WHO IT IS FOR

Security Architect

Threat Modelling Lead

Principal Consultant

WHAT YOU GET

- Live co-editing of one architecture
- A change feed showing who did what
- Disputed threats kept on the model with their reason
- A history of what changed between sessions

52%

have no regular management reporting on threat modelling

Source: Threat Modeling Connect, State of Threat Modeling 2024-25

See it: ayurak.com/demos/workbench

€600 per diagram, three regenerations included · free Starter tier with one diagram